



NORTH FALLS

Offshore Wind Farm

**Applicant's Response to Procedural Decisions
following the Application's acceptance for
Examination and the submission of Relevant
Representations by Interested Parties.**

Document Reference: 8.2
Volume: 8
Date: 16 December 2024
Revision: 0

Project Reference: EN010119



NORTH FALLS

Offshore Wind Farm

Project	North Falls Offshore Wind Farm
Document Title	Applicant's Response to Procedural Decisions following the Application's acceptance for Examination and the submission of Relevant Representations by Interested Parties.
Document Reference	8.2
Supplier	Stantec
Supplier Document ID	33313180800.51.1024

This document and any information therein are confidential property of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited and without infringement neither the whole nor any extract may be disclosed, loaned, copied or used for manufacturing, provision of services or other purposes whatsoever without prior written consent of North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited, and no liability is accepted for loss or damage from any cause whatsoever from the use of the document. North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited retains the right to alter the document at any time unless a written statement to the contrary has been appended.

Revision	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Originator	Checked	Approved
0	16 December 2024	Response to Procedural Decisions	Stantec	NFOW	NFOW

Contents

- 1. Applicant’s response to Procedural Decisions following the Application’s acceptance for Examination and the submission of Relevant Representations by Interested Parties. 5
- 2. Documents submitted 20
- 3. Contact details 21

c/o Wendy McKay
Lead Panel Member for the Examining Authority
National Infrastructure Planning
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol, BS1 6PN

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited
Windmill Hill Business Park
Whitehill Way
Swindon
Wiltshire
SN5 6PB
United Kingdom

northfalls@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

16 December 2024

Dear Ms McKay,

Planning Act 2008 - Section 89(3)

Project Title: North Falls Offshore Wind Farm

Applicant: North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited

Application Reference: EN010119

We are pleased to enclose the Applicant's Response to the Procedural Decisions following the Application's acceptance for Examination and the submission of Relevant Representations by Interested Parties (letter dated 28 November 2024).

This Cover Letter (Document Reference: 8.2) is structured as follows:

Section 1 – Applicant's Response to Procedural Decisions following the Application's acceptance for Examination and the submission of Relevant Representations by Interested Parties.

Section 2 – Documents Submitted

Section 3 – Contact Details

1. APPLICANT’S RESPONSE TO PROCEDURAL DECISIONS FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION’S ACCEPTANCE FOR EXAMINATION AND THE SUBMISSION OF RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS BY INTERESTED PARTIES.

1) Documents submitted following the Application’s submission and acceptance	
Procedural Decision	Applicant’s Response
<p>Further to the issuing of section 51 advice as part of the Application’s acceptance, the Applicant submitted the documents on 14 October 2024.</p> <p>The documents include addressing drafting errors raised in the aforementioned section 51 advice. Given those documents are in response to section 51 advice which includes addressing errors in the originally submitted versions the ExA has made the procedural decision to accept additional submissions [AS-002] to [AS-033] (inclusive).</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

2) The preparation and submission of SoCGs	
Procedural Decision	Applicant’s Response
<p>The ExA notes the Applicant has advised in the Consultation Report [APP-215] that it is preparing SoCGs with key stakeholders with the aim of submitting final and signed versions no later than the mid-point of the Examination. Having regard to that intention and the RRs that have been submitted by the Interested Parties (IPs), the ExA has made a Procedural Decision that the Applicant, the IPs and Other Persons listed below must prepare initial SoCGs at or before a deadline date that will be identified in the Examination timetable and to be thereafter updated</p>	<p>On the 2 December 2024 the Applicant notified, via email, all of the parties listed within the Examining Authority’s (ExA) letter dated 28 November 2024 of the Procedural Decision in relation to Statements of Common Ground (SoCGs).</p> <p>The Applicant also notes the request for a “Progress with Statements of Common Ground” (PSoCG) document that is to be submitted at Deadline 1 – as set out provisionally in the Draft</p>

during the Examination and to be submitted in their **final and signed forms** at the **Final Deadline** to be specified in that timetable. The various submission dates will be determined following the holding of the Preliminary Meeting and the ExA's confirmation of the Examination timetable. However, the ExA would highlight that we wish to receive the initial SoCGs at an early stage prior to the mid-point of the Examination, so that there is clarity about what is or is not agreed between the Applicant, and IPs. This will also help to inform us about the need to hold any Issue Specific Hearings (ISHs) during the Examination, and to enable the requisite notice for hearings to be provided. Unless otherwise stated or agreed, the SoCG should be agreed between the Applicant and the relevant IP or parties and submitted **by the Applicant**.

The aim of a SoCG is to agree factual information and to inform the ExA and all other parties by identifying where there is agreement and where the differences lie at an early stage in the Examination process. In addition to identifying matters which are not in dispute or need not be the subject of further evidence, it can also usefully state where and why there may be disagreement about the interpretation and relevance of the information. The ExA therefore requests that SoCGs clearly identify and summarise within them principal areas of disagreement, as well as matters which have been agreed.

All of the SoCGs listed below should cover the Articles and Requirements in the draft Development Consent Order. Any Interested Party seeking that an Article or Requirement is reworded should provide the form of words which are being sought.

Examination Timetable of the Rule 6 Letter.

The ExA also requests that a “Progress with Statements of Common Ground” document is submitted by the Applicant at the deadlines to be set out in the Examination Timetable to provide a summary of progress with the various parties on all topics included in the SoCGs and a list of outstanding matters of disagreement.

The ExA will not therefore generally be requesting IPs to identify and submit separate Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statements (PADSS) in addition to the SoCGs.

However, the ExA notes that Natural England in submitting its RR [RR-243] has taken the opportunity to incorporate its Written Representations (WRs) with its RR and identify PADSS. Accordingly, the ExA will not be requiring the Applicant and Natural England to enter into a bilateral SoCG and the ExA has made a separate Procedural Decision below relating to the PADSS that Natural England has identified.

For some matters which multiple IPs have an interest in, for example effects on: shipping and navigation; marine ecology (or elements thereof); and the operation of the public highway, there may be scope for those matters to be addressed thematically, with the Applicant and two or more IPs entering into topic specific SoCGs. The ExA would be supportive of such an approach being taken, particularly if that would assist the expeditious preparation of the SoCGs. Although Natural England and the Applicant are not being required to enter into a bilateral SoCG, should Natural England wish to enter into a multi-party thematic SoCG, then the ExA would have no objection to that.

The Applicant and the following must enter into bilateral or multi-party SoCGs:

- Affinity Water Limited
- Aldeburgh Town Council
- Ardleigh Parish Council
- Anglian Water Services
- Babergh District Council
- Cadent Gas Limited
- Civil Aviation Authority
- Corporation of Trinity House of Deptford Strond
- Dedham Vale Society
- East Suffolk Council
- Environment Agency
- Essex County Council
- Essex County Fire and Rescue Service
- Essex Police
- Essex Suffolk Norfolk pylons
- Essex Wildlife Trust · Forestry Commission
- Frating Parish Council
- Harwich Harbour Fishermen's Association
- Harwich Haven Authority
- Hertfordshire County Council
- Historic England
- Kent & Essex Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authority
- Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council
- Little Bromley Parish Council
- London Gateway Port Limited
- Marine Management Organisation
- Maritime and Coastguard Agency
- Ministry of Defence
- National Air Traffic Services
- National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations
- National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC
- National Highways
- National Trust
- Network Rail Infrastructure Limited
- Port of Felixstowe
- Port of London Authority

- Port of Tilbury London Limited
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- Royal Yachting Association
- Suffolk County Council
- Suffolk and Essex Coast and Heaths National Landscape Partnership
- Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care Board · Tendring District Council
- The Crown Estate
- The Cruising Association
- The Wildlife Trusts
- UK Chamber of Shipping
- UK Health Security Agency

Although Essex County Fire and Rescue Service, Kent and Essex Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authority, the Port of Felixstowe and the Royal Yachting Association have not registered as IPs, a SoCG should nonetheless still be developed. The ExA requests that the Applicant initiates contact with these organisations for the preparation of a SoCG and also notifies them of this Procedural Decision.

3) Natural England Relevant Representation [RR-243]	
Procedural Decision	Applicant's Response
<p><u>Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statement (PADSS)</u></p> <p>In the light of Natural England's early identification of PADSS as part of its RR [RR-243] the ExA has made the Procedural Decision that Natural England's PADSS should be treated as being a 'live' document to be updated during the Examination indicating what progress, if any, has been made to address areas of disagreement. While Examination timetabling will be finalised following the holding of the Preliminary Meeting, Natural England should work on the basis that it will be expected to submit the first substantive PADSS update by the Examination's midpoint. Thereafter, Natural England should be prepared to submit a PADSS update at any subsequent Examination deadline to be specified in the Examination Timetable with the Final PADSS to be submitted at the Final Deadline.</p> <p><u>Additional Ecological Information</u></p> <p>Taking into account Natural England's (NE) RR, the ExA requests the following:</p> <p>i. As per NE RR Ref F26 a full in combination assessment of impacts for 'guillemot' at the Farne Islands Special Protection Area (SPA) (noting Nature Scotland, should be consulted on predicted impacts to Scottish SPAs).</p> <p>ii. The Applicant's views as to whether updated without prejudice derogation cases for 'guillemot and razorbill' at the Flamborough and the Filey Coast SPA are necessary factoring NE RR Ref point F31.</p>	<p><u>PDASS</u></p> <p>Noted.</p> <p><u>Additional Ecological Information</u></p> <p>i. The Applicant will provide a full in-combination assessment for guillemot from the Farne Islands SPA at Deadline 1</p> <p>ii. The Applicant has already provided information in the Guillemot and Razorbill Compensation Document (Document Reference 7.2.5, Section 3) regarding 70% displacement and 2% mortality, therefore no updates to the derogation case on this matter are proposed. The Applicant will however be providing further updates on compensation at Deadline 1.</p> <p>Natural England's recommendation for comment F31 is to provide a comparison of the Secretary of State's conclusions for Dudgeon and Sheringham Extension Projects with those presented in the North Falls application, with regards to guillemot. This will be provided at Deadline 3.</p> <p>With regards to Natural England comment F32 on razorbill, the Applicant notes that while Natural England's position was that an adverse effect on integrity (AEoI) could not be ruled out for this species, the Secretary of State concluded no AEoI in the Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extensions</p>

iii. The Applicant's response to NE RR Ref F24 and P29 seeking (a) an updated impact assessment incorporating the most recent count data to calculate baseline mortality for all ornithology given the Five Estuaries and North Falls Offshore Windfarm projects are expected to be in Examination concurrently, i.e., including the breeding population of 'lesser black backed gull' at the Aldre Ore SPA and (b) compensation.

iv. Updated information on the Stour and Orwell SPA to inform the Examination.

v. As per NE RR Ref E1, E6, E14, E38 and P15 further information is requested for: (a) the presentation of the cumulative impact significance for each species; (b) the recalculation of piling scenarios; (c) a Population Consequences Disturbance update for marine mammals including on the Southern by North Special Area of Conservation and having regard to any reduction to the disturbance to harbour porpoise; (d) all mitigation.

All to be submitted at the earliest opportunity, and the Applicant and NE should work on the basis that this information will be required by the initial stages of the Examination. The Applicant **MUST** provide an update on progress in complying with this request including anticipated submission date or dates by **16 December 2024**.

Habitats Regulations Assessment (DESNZ, 2024).

The Applicant maintains the position that North Falls will have no AEoI on guillemot or razorbill, alone or in-combination, as presented in the Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment Part 4 (Document Reference: 7.1.4).

NE RR Ref F32 also requests a comparison of the Secretary of State's conclusions for Dudgeon and Sheringham Extension Projects with those presented in the North Falls application, with regards to razorbill. This will be provided at Deadline 3.

iii. (a) With regards to NE RR Ref F24, the Applicant is reviewing the information provided by Natural England and in the Five Estuaries documents and will provide an update at Deadline 1.

(b) With regards to NE RR Ref P29, the Applicant is progressing site selection for lesser black-backed gull compensation and will provide an update at Deadline 1.

iv. The Applicant understands this point relates to NE-02 (Table 5.1) which states "unknown as yet to be assessed" regarding Stour and Orwell SPA, however this SPA is assessed in the Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment Part 4, Section 4.5 and Part 5, Section 5.4.3. Therefore, it is the Applicant's position that no further information is required for this site.

	<p>v. (a) An updated cumulative assessment for marine mammals will be provided at Deadline 1.</p> <p>(b) It is the Applicant's position that no recalculation of piling scenarios is required. The north location modelled for PEIR related to the northern array area which has now been removed from the project envelope. The areas modelled represent the worst case scenario for the remaining Order limits.</p> <p>(c) The Applicant will provide further clarification on the Population Consequences of Disturbance model at Deadline 1.</p> <p>(d) The Applicant notes that potential mitigation options, including Noise Abatement Systems, are listed within the Outline Site Integrity Plan (APP-243) which will be finalised post-consent in line with the final design of the Project. It is recognised that upon assessment of the design information any need for the implementation of NAS will be decided in consultation with the licencing authority. The Applicant is planning appropriately for the potential requirement for NAS but maintains the position that the effects will be suitably mitigated through further design refinement and embedded mitigation. The Applicant has already made the mitigation commitment to only pile at one monopile location in any one day during the winter season, unless NAS is utilised. The Applicant is also considering the option of no piling during the winter season, as detailed in the Outline</p>
--	--

	<p>SIP (APP-243) and this would also be determined post consent.</p> <p>The Applicant continues to engage with Natural England and the above information will be provided to the Planning Inspectorate by the initial stages of Examination, as requested.</p>
--	--

4) The preparation and submission of Local Impact Reports (LIRs) by Local Planning Authorities	
Procedural Decision	Applicant's Response
<p>As indicated above, the Examination Timetable will be confirmed by the ExA following the holding of the Preliminary Meeting. However, in the meantime the Local Planning Authorities who will be submitting LIRs should work on the basis that those reports will be required for submission by the first deadline of the Examination period which will be specified in that timetable. In connection with the submission of the LIRs the ExA has made the Procedural Decision that each LIR must have appended to it copies of all the relevant adopted Development Plan policies along with the explanatory/supporting text for those policies. Any Local Planning Authority that fails to comply with this Procedural</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

Decision will be requested by the ExA to resubmit its LIR with the missing policies added.	
--	--

5) The submission of Land Rights Trackers by the Applicant	
Procedural Decision	Applicant's Response
<p>The ExA is mindful of the Applicant's submission of a Schedule of Negotiations [APP-010] and a Statutory Undertakers Schedule [APP-011]. Having regard to the contents of those documents, and the comments relating to Land Rights issues included in the submitted RRs, the ExA has made the Procedural Decision that the Applicant should submit two Land Rights Trackers, one for Affected Persons (AP(s)) who are not Statutory Undertakers and a second tracker for Statutory Undertakers (SU). Following the submission of the initial trackers, they must be updated by the Applicant at each subsequent Examination deadline, explaining, amongst other things, what engagement there has been and how negotiations have progressed since the previous versions of the trackers were submitted. APs will have the opportunity to comment on the contents of the Land Rights trackers at the next appropriate Examination deadline. The trackers should include the information listed below in a tabular form for each land interest which had not already been acquired at the date of the Application's submission. The trackers should be completed on the basis that for so long as there is non-agreement that will amount to an objection by an AP. The trackers should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The objection number and name of the party concerned. · The SU tracker must also include the nature of the undertaking and whether s127 or 138 PA2008 is engaged. 	<p>The Applicant acknowledges the ExA's request and has prepared a template Land Rights Tracker ("LRT") template accompanied by explanatory commentary.</p> <p>Voluntary Land Rights are being sought jointly with Five Estuaries Offshore Wind Farm where practicable. The draft LRT template has therefore been adapted from the LRT template produced and accepted by the ExA currently examining the Five Estuaries Offshore Wind Farm Project.</p> <p>The Applicant is confident the presented format of the LRT will comprehensively address the ExA's request and provide the required information.</p> <p>It is the Applicant's view that the LRT once populated supersedes the Schedule of Negotiations [APP-010] and Statutory Undertaker's Schedule [APP-011] submitted with the application. Consequently, the Applicant proposes not to update these documents during the Examination moving forwards. This position has been accepted by other ExAs including the panel examining Five Estuaries Offshore Wind Farm Project.</p>

- Land Plot number used in the Book of Reference (BoR).
- The Land Plan reference used on the Land Plans.
- For each Land Plot, the reason for Acquisition or Temporary Use including the identification of the relevant Work Number(s) together with a summary descriptor for the proposed acquisition of a freehold or the acquisition for a right(s).
- Description of the land rights sought, including any restrictive covenants.
- Whether the person with an interest in the land is within Category 1 and is an owner, lessee, tenant or occupier.
- Whether that person with an interest in the land is within Category 2 and is a person interested in the land or has the power to sell or convey the land or to release the land.
- Whether the person or organisation with an interest in the land is represented or unrepresented and if represented the name of the company or individual providing representation should be stated.
- The reference number assigned to the IP or AP.
- The Examination Library (EL) reference number assigned to each Relevant Representation.
- The EL reference number assigned to each Written Representation.
- The EL reference number assigned to any other relevant document submitted during the Examination.
- The EL reference number assigned to all of the Applicant's responses to APs' submissions, with specific reference to the paragraph number or section in the response document.
- Whether the powers sought relate to permanent or temporary rights, and the likely duration of any temporary rights, such as Temporary Possession.
- The identification of any Special Category land.

<p>- An account of all the negotiations undertaken prior to and following the Application’s submission, including the dates for when there has been engagement between the parties, highlighting outstanding objections; any reasons for why negotiations may not have been progressing and an explanation for any disagreements including indicative timescales for any progress that it anticipated.</p> <p>The trackers are likely to contain a lot of information which will need to be presented in a useable form. Accordingly, the ExA has made the Procedural Decision that the Applicant should submit a blank template for the required trackers in its preferred form by 16 December 2024 for review and comment by the ExA. Thereafter, the ExA will provide comments about the blank templates prior to them being fully populated by the Applicant before being submitted in initial completed forms at or before a deadline date that will be identified in the Examination timetable and to be thereafter updated during the Examination and submitted in their final forms at the Final Deadline to be specified in that timetable.</p>	
---	--

6) Revisions to Application documents and other documents	
Procedural Decision	Applicant’s Response
<p>In the event of it being necessary for a) the Applicant to submit further amended Application documents, for example the draft Development Consent Order; or b) the Applicant or another IP to submit an amended document, then clean and tracked changed versions of the document should be submitted concurrently so that any revisions can readily be identified by all parties, the Secretary of State and the ExA.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

7) Request for a National Policy Statement (NPS) Accordance Table	
Procedural Decision	Applicant's Response
<p>The ExA acknowledges that the individual Chapters 8 to 34 (inclusive) of the Environmental Statement include a NPS Assessment Requirements Table. Furthermore, the Design and Access Statement (DAS) [APP-235] at Section 7.2 includes Accordance Tables 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 setting out compliance with relevant design policy paragraphs of EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5 respectively. In addition, the Planning Statement [AS-004] Section 5 presents an overview of the Proposed Development's accordance with key policies of the NPSs. However, to facilitate the IPs ease of navigation of NPS policy compliance during the Examination, the ExA considers that this information should be collated into a single document.</p> <p>The ExA therefore requests the Applicant to provide a revised NPS Accordance Table in the same format as that included in the DAS but also incorporating policy compliance with all relevant NPS policies as they relate to the Key Topics set out in the Planning Statement and the individual ES Chapters. We request that the Applicant provides the first version of an NPS Accordance Table collated in a single document by the first deadline of the Examination period that will be specified in the Examination Timetable. The Examination Timetable will also include provision for subsequent versions to be updated at various deadlines to be specified in that timetable. The document should provide an assessment of the Proposed Development's conformity with the NPSs which have effect during the Examination.</p>	<p>The Applicant notes the request from the ExA for a National Policy Statement Accordance Table document and will provide this at Deadline 1 – as set out provisionally in the Draft Examination Timetable of the Rule 6 Letter.</p>

8) Request for an update on Option 3 – Offshore Electrical Connection

Procedural Decision	Applicant's Response
<p>The Planning Statement [AS-004], paragraph 2.1.11 includes reference to: “Option 3: offshore electrical connection, supplied by a third-party” and provision for this option is included within the draft DCO. Please provide an update on the progress with and the feasibility of this option by 16 December 2024.</p>	<p>The Applicant's 2.5 Co-ordination Report [AS-006] from page 13 comprehensively sets out North Falls' long-term engagement in the Offshore Transmission Network Review, (OTNR commencing from 2020) and then subsequently, the Department of Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) project, the Offshore Coordination Support Scheme (OCSS). The OCSS was a UK Government led project facilitated, managed and directly funded by DESNZ.</p> <p>On the 3 September 2024 (two months after North Falls DCO submission), the Secretary of State for DESNZ decided not to grant further funding to explore the potential for offshore cable and offshore grid connection coordination as part of the OTNR “Early Opportunities” workstream and advised key stakeholders accordingly. Whilst the workstream identified that an offshore cable and grid connection point was technically feasible, it identified the potential for significant additional costs and delay.</p> <p>While the Secretary of State has decided not to grant further funding for this workstream, an offshore cable coordinated connection point remains a grid connection option within the North Falls DCO application. It is important to note that the Works package included to facilitate this offshore connection is Work No. 2(b) only i.e. an offshore converter station platform. Regardless of whether Option 1, 2 or 3 is pursued, the</p>

	<p>maximum number of offshore platforms for which consent is sought remains the same (i.e. two) – see condition 11 of Part 2 of Schedules 9 and 10 which secures this.</p> <p>Option 3 provides a connection point for North Falls to connect to an offshore coordinated cable option brought forward by a third party outside of the OTNR workstream, should that cable route and option be promoted and be environmentally, regulatory and commercially viable within appropriate timescales.</p> <p>The Examining Authority will be aware that coordination of grid connection infrastructure (cables, substations or converter platforms) is strongly supported by the relevant Energy national policy statements, and by the quantum of statutory and non-statutory relevant representations that continue to advocate for an offshore grid connection option for North Falls.</p> <p>Whilst the National Grid <i>onshore</i> contracted grid connection point for North Falls comprised in Option 1 and Option 2 of the DCO application remains of utmost necessity due to the environmental, regulatory and commercial uncertainties associated with the overall co-ordinated cable delivery model for Option 3, the Applicant considers it prudent to maintain its offshore connection point (i.e. the inclusion of Work No. 4(b) converter station) in its Option 3 within the design envelope for North Falls. This is to ensure the offshore converter platform (the offshore co-ordination connection point) required to facilitate a third parties offshore cable connection can be properly</p>
--	---

	<p>considered during Examination and in recognition of the ever evolving technical and commercial grid landscape within Great Britain.</p> <p>Currently, no third party is promoting a co-ordinated offshore cable option in collaboration with North Falls proposed grid connection point, its Option 3.</p> <p>The Applicant will update the Co-ordination Report [AS-006] at the appropriate deadline during the Examination to reflect the above position.</p>
--	---

2. DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED

Please see table below of updated and new documents submitted with this response.

Document Name	Document Reference	Rev	Type
Applicant's Response to Procedural Decisions	8.2	0	Clean
Applicant's Commentary on Land Rights Tracker Template	8.3	0	Clean
Applicant's Land Rights Tracker Template	8.4	0	Clean
Guide to the Application	1.3	2	Clean and Tracked

3. CONTACT DETAILS

3.1.1 If we can be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us using the details provided below:-

Yours Faithfully,

Daniel Harper

Consents Manager

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited



NORTH FALLS

Offshore Wind Farm



HARNESSING THE POWER OF NORTH SEA WIND

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Ltd

A joint venture company owned equally by SSE Renewables and RWE.

To contact please email contact@northfallsoffshore.com

© 2024 All Rights Reserved

North Falls Offshore Wind Farm Limited Registered Address: Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire, SN5 6PB, United Kingdom
Registered in England and Wales Company Number: 12435947
